UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,016	03/19/2004	King Chung	15353US02	7796
	7590 06/04/201 S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MA	DISON STREET	BOCKELMAN, MARK		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/805,016	CHUNG ET AL. Art Unit	
Mark W. Bockelman	3766	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 7 April	il 2010.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Freason(s):</li> </ol>	Request is improper and a conference	e will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not incl	s not been filed concurrent with the Pr lude reasons why a review is appropr is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief	riate.
The time period for filing a respor the mail date of the last Office co	nse continues to run from the receipt mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal h	date of the Notice of Appeal or from nas been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal be brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	der appeal because there is at least of rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37 In from mailing this decision, or the ba stice of appeal, whichever is greater. If CFR 1.136 based upon the mail da	Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been one actual issue for appeal. Applicant T. The time period for filing an appeal alance of the two-month time period Further, the time period for filing of the ate of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cor		ows:
	conference has been held. The rejectution on the merits remains closed. N	
	conference has been held. The reject action is required by applicant at this	
All participants:		
(1) <u>Mark W. Bockelman</u> .	(3) <u>Melanie Ke</u>	emper.
(2) <u>Carl Layno</u> .	(4)	
/Mark W Bockelman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3766	/Carl H. Layno/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3766	/Melanie Kemper/ Reviewer, TC 3700